



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/608,670	06/30/2000	Robert Lennie	PALM-2930.US.P	1207
75	90 02/19/2004		EXAM	NER
Wagner Murabito & Hao LLP			SIMITOSKI, MICHAEL J	
Two North Market Street Third Floor San Jose, CA 94113			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2134	
			DATE MAILED: 02/19/2004	S

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•						
7	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/608,670	LENNIE ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Michael J Simitoski	2134				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover she t with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.11 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of the period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 Ju						
,	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	=x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	55 O.G. 215.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected. 7) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-16 is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examine						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>30 June 2000</u> is/are: a)□ accepted or b)⊠ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureat * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applica ority documents have been receiv ou (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	tion No ved in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)		NORMAN M. WRIGHT PRIMARY EXAMINER				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summar					
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail [0] 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:	Date Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/608,670 Page 2

Art Unit: 2134

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-20 are pending.

Drawings

2. The drawings are objected to because the drawings contain labeling, number and text that are not in uniform height and thickness. A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Specification

- 3. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities:
 - a. On page 22, lines 6, "cookie 901" should be replaced with "cookie 900"
 - b. On page 22, lines 10, "tree" should be replaced with "three".
 - c. On page 22, line 25, "profile code 914" should be replaced with "profile code 905" because element 914 is not featured in the drawings.
 - d. On page 23, line 6, "cookie provided in step 811" should be replaced with "cookie provided in step 813" because element 811 is not featured in the drawings.
 Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Objections

4. Claims 1 & 9 are objected to because of the following informalities: In line 11 of both claims, "said a second seed" should be replaced with "said second seed". Appropriate correction is required.

Claims 2-8 & 10-16 are objected to due to their dependence on claims 1 & 9, respectively.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 5. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 6. Claims 1, 9 & 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. The claim is unclear as to which "a query" (lines 16 & 17) "said query" (lines 18 & 19) refers. The examiner suggests removing "of a query" from part d), line 16 to clarify the claim. For the purposes of this office action, both statements of "a query" are understood to refer to the same query.

Claims 2-8, 10-16 & 18-20 are rejected due to their dependence on claims 1, 9 & 17, respectively.

Allowable Subject Matter

7. Claims 1-20, as best understood, are felt to be in condition for allowance once the objection to claims 1 & 9 and rejection of claims 1, 9 & 17 are overcome.

Application/Control Number: 09/608,670

Art Unit: 2134

The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance: The instant 8. specification is allowable over the prior art. It would not have been obvious to combine U.S. Patent 5,249,230 to Mihm and U.S. Patent 6,463,533 to Calamera.

Page 4

Calamera discloses receiving user identification/ID from a user generating a query/alias request that comprises user identification/ID, an encrypted portion/alias (see col. 7, lines 31-64) and the use of cookies (see col. 10, lines 22-43). Calamera does not disclose an encrypted buffer or first, second and third seed components. Mihm does not disclose a second seed component and a request buffer. The prior art relied upon fails to teach or suggest both the structure of the query and cookie set forth in claims 1, 9 and 17. More specifically, the prior art relied upon fails to teach or suggest the query comprising an encrypted portion (containing a request buffer, encrypted with a second seed component), third seed component and an encrypted buffer (including a profile code encrypted using a key), where user identification data and a third seed component are unencrypted (see Fig. 9B of the instant application), and the cookie comprising a profile code encrypted using a key generated using first, second and third seed components, the second and third seed components not encrypted (see Fig. 9A of the instant application).

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Application/Control Number: 09/608,670 Page 5

Art Unit: 2134

Conclusion

9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

- e. U.S. Patents 6,470,378 and 6,374,359 were cited for relevance in using cookies in client/server environments.
- f. U.S. Patents 6,668,322, 6,324,648 and 6,199,113 were cited for relevance in employing credentials/access databases for authentication via gateways/proxies.
- g. "Single Sign-On Using Cookies for Web Applications" was cited for relevance in using cookies for single sign-on registration/authentication.
- 10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael J. Simitoski whose telephone number is (703)305-8191. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday Thursday, 6:45 a.m. 4:15 p.m.. The examiner can also be reached on alternate Fridays from 6:45 a.m. 3:15 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gregory Morse can be reached on (703)308-4789.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks Washington, DC 20231

Or faxed to:

(703)746-7239 (for formal communications intended for entry)

Or:

(703)746-7240 (for informal or draft communications, please label "PROPOSED" or "DRAFT")

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington, VA 22202, Fourth Floor (Receptionist).

Application/Control Number: 09/608,670

Art Unit: 2134

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-9000.

MJS

February 6, 2004

NORMAN M. WRIGHT PRIMARY EXAMINER Page 6